

#### STATE OF MISSOURI MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

### **SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT**

1. SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT	2. FISCAL YEAR PERIOD:
K	
	FROM JULY 1, 2009TO JUNE 30, 2010

#### GOALS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

3 (a). What waste goals did the district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?

The district, based on its regional plan, has established goals for all areas of solid waste management. However, due to funding constraints, the district is not able to address all of those goals. The following areas are where the district chose to spend district funds in 2009-2010:

- 1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.
- 2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents of the region.
- 3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region, surveillance of dumpsites and opportunities for residents to report illegal dumping.
- 4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to residents of all ages in the region.
- 5. Provide technical and financial assistance to schools in the region in cleaning up old, unwanted chemicals in school labs.
- 6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.
- 7. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district

RECEIVED BY

SEP 2 7 2010

SWMP OPERATIONS

Please see attached sheet with complete information on actions taken on each of the goals listed above.

3 (b). What waste goals does the or these goals. Please include the type	district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve ones of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these goals.
The goals from 2009-2010 will be	continued for 2010-2011:
	ortunities to the residents of the region. ortunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents
	ng through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region, surveillance of
<ul><li>4. Providing educational opp</li><li>5. Provide technical and fina</li></ul>	portunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to residents of all ages in the region.  uncial assistance to schools in the region in cleaning up old, unwanted chemicals in school labs.  ance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses,
7. Working with other agenc	sies and organizations to further the goals of the district.
district will seek to fund projects the The district will continue to fund proceed collection facilities; 3) Litter patrol	orting key goals such as expanding recycling opportunities and addressing illegal dumping. The nat will meet these goals and the overall state goal of reducing the volume of waste being landfilled. rojects such as: 1) special waste collections for tires, electronics and appliances; 2) HHW satellite officers; 4) Illegal dump cleanup projects; 5) programs that focus on education and raising will consider other grant proposals that help to further the district's goals.
4 (a). What recycling goals did the o	district have for the fiscal year period and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals?
·	
,	
2.	
MO 780-1989 (06-08)	
4 (b). What recycling goals does the achieve these goals? Please include goals. Please see attached.	e district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to e the types of grant proposals that will be sought for the upcoming period to assist in meeting these
·	
-	

5 (a). What resource recovery goals these goals? Please see attached.	s did the district have for the fisca	l year period and what actions did the	district take to achieve
i lease see attached.		· ·	
			•
		•	
5 (b). What resource recovery goals take to achieve these goals? Pleas meeting these goals. Please see attached.	s does the district have for the up se include the types of grant propo	coming fiscal year period and what ac osals that will be sought for the upcom	tions does the district plan to ing period to assist in
·			
	•		
	,		
			·
			•
6. SUMMARIZE THE TYPES OF P	DO IECTS AND DESILITS DITE	ING FISCAL YEAR (ADDITIONAL SH	EETS MAY BE ATTACHED
IF NEEDED.)	TOOLOTO AND ILLOUETO DON		
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.	Cost of Project.	Number of Tons Diverted.	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from			Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.			Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.			Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.  RECEIVED BY
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved	Cost of Project.		Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.
Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved Please see attached.	Cost of Project.	Number of Tons Diverted.	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.  RECEIVED BY
IF NEEDED.)  Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved	Cost of Project.	Number of Tons Diverted.	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.  RECEIVED BY  SEP 2 7 2010
Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved Please see attached.	Cost of Project.	Number of Tons Diverted.	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.  RECEIVED BY SEP 2 7 2010
Name of Project Resulting in Tonnage Diversions from Landfills.  Please see attached.  Measurable outcomes achieved Please see attached.	Cost of Project.	Number of Tons Diverted.	Average Cost Per Ton Diverted.  RECEIVED BY SEP 2 7 2010

Please see attached

,				
	*			
	TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PE			
Measurable outcomes achieved	for these projects.	·		
Please see attached.				
O IDENTIFY CEDADATE OTAT	TOTION FOR ITEMS BANKED AND			
	ISTICS FOR ITEMS BANNED FR			
List projects resulting in tonnage diversions from landfills.	List cost of project resulting in tonnage diversion.	Number of tons diverted from project.	Averag diverte	e cost per ton d.
Please see attached.				·
O IDENTIEV CEDADATE CTAT	 ISTICS FOR ITEMS NOT BANNE			
List projects resulting in	List cost of project resulting in	Number of tons diverted from	Averag	e cost per ton
tonnage diversions from landfills.	tonnage diversion.	project.	diverted	d.
Please see attached.				
10. Describe your district's grant	proposal evaluation process.			
Please see attached.				
Mr. Lewis Char				
	•			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
MO 780-1989 (06-08)				
		,		<i>:</i>
BOARD AND COUNCIL MEMBE				
	uncil Member	Address:		
Name: Please see attached.				
Representative of:		City:	State:	ZIP:
☐County ☐Public	1			
The state of the s			1.	j .

### Ozark Rivers Solid Waste Management District Annual Report September 2010

1) District Name: Ozark Rivers Solid Waste Management District (K)

RECEIVED BY

2) Fiscal Year Period: July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010

SEP 27 2010

3) Goals and Accomplishments:

SWMP OPERATIONS

Goals for 2009-2010:

1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.

- 2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents of the region.
- 3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region, surveillance of dumpsites and opportunities for residents to report illegal dumping.
- 4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to residents of all ages in the region.
- 5. Provide technical and financial assistance to schools in the region in cleaning up old, unwanted chemicals in school labs.
- 6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.
- 7. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district.

The district, based on its regional plan, has established goals for all areas of solid waste management. However, due to funding constraints, the district is not able to address all of those goals. The executive board voted to keep the same goals for 2010-11 that were adopted for 2009-10. The executive board reviewed grants for 2011 in September and has chosen to continue to fund most of the projects or types of projects that were funded in the 2010 grant round.

Several of the seven goals established by the district cross over and address more than just one of MDNR's criteria of waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery. For the purposes of this report, we have divided the seven goals between these three criteria and have notated where the goal and the projects listed for that goal address more than one of the three criteria – which is in nearly every case.

3a) What waste reduction goals does the district have and what actions did the district take in the previous year and plan to take in the upcoming year to meet these goals.

The following areas are where the district chose to spend district funds in 2009-2010 in the area of waste reduction:

- 1. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to residents of all ages in the region. (waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery)
- 2. Provide technical and financial assistance to schools in the region in cleaning up old, unwanted chemicals in school labs. (waste reduction recycling and vecovery)

SEP 27 2010

1 | Page

SIM - THE TIME

- 3. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region. (waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery)
- 1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region: In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, 12 projects addressed this goal. They included:
  - 1. 2008-5 Funding for a document shredder, and baler to start a confidential document shredding/recycling program in the City of Potosi.
  - 2. 2009-3 Funding for a document shredder for the Scenic Rivers Sheltered Workshop to improve paper recycling.
  - 3. 2009-4 Funding for the Recycling Works program to provide recycling at the Owensville School District.
  - 4. 2009-7 Funding for the Waynesville R-VI School District's Going Green program to provide recycling at the schools.
  - 5. 2009-8 Funding for the Missouri University of Science and Technology Recycling Initiative to expand and improve recycling opportunities on campus.
  - 6. 2009-9 Funding for a textiles recycling program through the Phelps County Community Partnership and Phelps County Sheltered Workshop.
  - 7. 2009-10 Funding for the City of Salem to expand and improve its new recycling program.
  - 8. 2009-14 Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba.
  - 9. 2010-04 Funding for institutional recycling containers for the Missouri University of Science and Technology to expand and improve recycling opportunities on campus.
  - 10. 2010-05 Funding for University Extension to do several workshops on home composting in several communities, including Rolla, Salem, Owensville and Steelville.
  - 11. 2010-07 Funding for special waste collections (tires, white goods and electronics) in Phelps, Dent, Maries, Gasconade and Pulaski counties.
  - 12. 2010-11 Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups through raising awareness and information sharing.
- 2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents of the region: In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, five projects addressed this goal (the electronic waste/ white goods/tire grants are included in both this and goal number 1). They included:
  - 1. 2009-11 Funding for HHW satellite collection centers in Phelps and Pulaski counties.
  - 2. 2009-14 Funding for special waste collections for white goods, tires and e-waste in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba.
  - 3. 2010-06 Funding for HHW satellite collection centers in Phelps and Pulaski counties.
  - 4. 2010-07 Funding for special waste collections for white goods, tires and e-waste in Dent, Phelps, Maries, Gasconade and Pulaski counties.
  - 5. 2010-11 Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups.

- 3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region, surveillance of dumpsites and opportunities for residents to report illegal dumping: In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, 10 addressed this goal. They included:
  - 1. 2008-7- Funding for the illegally dumped tire program that provides transportation and disposal of illegally dumped tires collected by county road crews.
  - 2. 2008-12 Funding for the illegal dump cleanup program, which includes both education/awareness activities on illegal dumping as well as cleanup of illegal dumpsites.
  - 3. 2009-2 Funding for Phelps County Tough on Trash Initiative that provides for a part-time person to document, investigate and cleanup illegal dumps and litter.
  - 4. 2009-6 Funding for the Maries County Clean Roads and Waterways program to clean up litter and illegal dumpsites in Maries County.
  - 5. 2009-12 Funding for the MRPC illegal dump cleanup program.
  - 6. 2010-1 Funding through the district administration/operations grant for surveillance camera, tire cleanup and tire disposal.
  - 7. 2010-02 Funding for Maries County Clean Roads and Waterways program to clean up litter and illegal dumpsites in Maries County.
  - 8. 2010-03 Funding for Phelps County Tough on Trash Initiative that provides for a part-time person to document, investigate and cleanup illegal dumps and litter.
  - 9. 2010-08 Funding for MRPC's illegal dump cleanup program.
  - 10. 2010-11 Funding to match grant funds from USDA Rural Development to increase participation in special collections, recycling and illegal dump cleanups.
- 3b) What waste reduction goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals. Actions planned for 2010-11: The district will continue to provide funding for special waste collections and the HHW satellite collection facilities in St. Robert and Rolla. The district is benefiting from a USDA Rural Development grant secured by MRPC to fund education/awareness programs on solid waste and district programs. In addition to assisting schools with field trips to solid waste processing and disposal facilities, the program will encourage schools to participate in dump and litter cleanup projects. Much of the material removed from dumpsites and litter cleanups is also recycled. The Waynesville School District did a nature walk and cleanup in the National Forest lands in Pulaski County. They picked up .69 tons of trash, five tires and one television. The district is providing matching funds for the \$50,000 federal grant. The district plans to fund recycling bins for MS&T through a grant to Phyto Forensic. Although the district did not fund another grant for the chemistry lab cleanups, the 2009 grant was extended through the end of 2010. The district plans to continue to fund the trash patrol programs in Maries and Phelps counties, as well as the illegal dump surveillance camera.
- 4a) What recycling goals does the district have and what actions did the district take to achieve these goals.

The following areas are where the district chose to spend district funds in 2009-2010 in the area of recycling.

4. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region. (recycling, resource recovery)

- 5. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents of the region. (recycling, resource recovery)
- **4.** Providing education/awareness opportunities on recycling, reuse and waste reduction to residents of all ages in the region: In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, 15 included educational/awareness components that addressed this goal. They included:
  - 1. 2008-8 Funding for the chemistry lab cleanup program which includes providing information on best management practices for managing lab chemicals and using small scale chemistry experiments.
  - 2. 2009-4 Funding for the chemistry lab cleanup program which includes providing information on best management practices for handling lab chemicals and using small scale experiments.
  - 3. 2009-5 Funding for the Gasconade County R-II school district to establish a recycling program in the school and educate students on solid waste reduction, reuse and recycling.
  - 4. 2009-7 Funding for the Pulaski County R-VI School district to develop, implement and promote a school recycling program and educate students on solid waste reduction, reuse and recycling.
  - 5. 2009-8 Funding for the Recycling Initiative at Missouri University of Science and Technology to expand recycling opportunities on campus and educate students on recycling.
  - 6. 2009-13 Funding for an environmental educator to visit schools in the region and provide programs on composting, waste reduction, reuse and recycling.
  - 7. 2009-14 Funding for special waste collections for white goods, tires and e-waste in Maries County, Phelps County and Cuba. Educational materials handed out at collections.
  - 8. 2010-01 Funding for various implementation programs including the resource center, Earth Day activities and regular press releases that raise awareness and provide education on solid waste issues.
  - 9. 2010-04 Funding for the Recycling Initiative at Missouri University of Science and Technology to expand recycling opportunities on campus and educate students on recycling.
  - 10. 2010-05 Funding for the Dent County University Extension Service to provide educational workshops on food waste composting in several counties in the region.
  - 11. 2010-07 Funding for special waste collections for white goods, tires and e-waste in Maries, Phelps, Gasconade, Dent and Pulaski counties. Educational materials handed out at collections.
  - 12. 2010-09 Funding for a recycling mascot costume for the Rolla Recycling Center to use for tours, speaking engagements at schools, Earth Day events and special collections.
  - 13. 2010-10 Funding for an environmental educator to provide educational assemblies at 10 schools throughout the region.
  - 14. 2010-11 Funding to match a USDA Rural Development grant that provided educational materials and information on solid waste issues and urged schools and students to take action to recycle more and cleanup up illegal dumpsites.
  - 15. 2010-12 Funding to develop a website for the ORSWMD to disseminate information on solid waste issues as well as better promote district activities.

- 5. Provide technical and financial assistance to schools in the region in cleaning up old, unwanted chemicals in school labs. In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, two included components that addressed this goal. Both are continuations of the original grant that provided match for the EPA pilot project.
  - 1. 2008-8 Funding for the district to continue the school chemistry lab cleanup program.
  - 2. 2009-4 Funding for the district to continue the school chemistry lab cleanup program.
- 4b) What recycling goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year period and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Actions planned for 2010-11:

  Most of the programs funded by the district include some type of public awareness or education component. Information is distributed through the special collections and projects in schools.

  The district expected to receive in the 2011 grant round another application from the company that was awarded funding in 2010 for environmental education. However, that application was not received. District staff will continue to provide information and educational opportunities through speaking engagements, press releases, the Earth Day program and other opportunities to set up displays or share information on district programs. As participation has significantly dropped in the chemistry lab cleanup program, that project will be discontinued and any remaining funds returned to the district on December 29, 2010.
- 5a) What resource recovery goals does the district have and what actions did the district take in the previous year and plan to take in the upcoming year to meet these goals.
  - 6. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region, surveillance of dumpsites and opportunities for residents to report illegal dumping. (recycling, resource recovery)
  - 7. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district. (waste reduction, recycling and resource recovery)
- 6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region. In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, 11 included technical assistance components that addressed this goal. They included:
  - 1. 2008-8 Funding to assist schools with disposing of unwanted chemical waste and providing information to school staff to learn best management practices for school chemlabs.
  - 2008-12 Funding for the illegal dump cleanup program which includes providing technical assistance to residents, local governments and partner agencies in getting illegal dumps cleaned up properly.
  - 3. 2010-1 Funding for grant administration that includes providing technical assistance to prospective applicants and grantees on preparing grant applications, providing workshops on grant preparation, completing necessary reports and carrying out projects. This grant also provides for general administration to the district and district implementation and includes hours for technical assistance to member local governments, businesses, organizations and individuals requesting help with solid waste issues including the surveillance camera and county tire cleanup coordination.

RECEIVED BY

- 4. 2009-4 Funding for the school lab program to assist schools with cleaning up and removing unwanted chemicals and providing information to school staff to learn best management practices for school chemistry labs.
- 5. 2009-11 Funding to continue the HHW Satellite collection centers promoting the program and assisting the communities with contractors and other issues.
- 6. 2009-12 Funding the illegal dump cleanup program which includes providing technical assistance to residents, local governments and partner agencies in getting illegal dumpsites cleaned up properly.
- 7. 2010-06 Funding to continue the HHW Satellite collection centers promoting the program and assisting the communities with contractors and other issues.
- 8. 2010-07 Funding to provide special waste collections for cities and counties, assisting those local governments with the proper disposal or recovery of items banned from landfills or difficult to recycle, such as electronics.
- 9. 2010-08 Funding for the illegal dump cleanup program which includes providing technical assistance to residents, local governments and partner agencies in getting illegal dumpsites cleaned up properly.
- 10. 2010-11 Funding to match the USDA grant to provide assistance to local school districts and the public on the proper methods of disposing of and/or recycling solid waste.
- 11. 2010-12 Funding the development of a district website that would provide valuable information on solid waste issues and solutions for residents, businesses, local governments and other agencies in the region.
- 7. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district. In the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the district had 29 active grant projects. Of those, 13 included working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district.
  - 1. 2008-8 Funding the school lab cleanup program which includes coordinating inspections of labs with schools, the disposal contractor and the Department of Natural Resources On Scene Hazardous Materials Coordinator.
  - 2. 2008-9 Funding the special waste collections program which includes working with several contractors, including Missouri Vocational Enterprises (MVE), as well as city and county government and volunteer groups to organize and hold collection events.
  - 3. 2008-12 Funding for the illegal dump cleanup program which includes working with numerous partner agencies to get illegal dumps cleaned up properly.
  - 4. 2009-4 Funding for the school lab cleanup program which includes coordinating inspections of labs with schools, the disposal contractor and MDNR staff.
  - 5. 2009-11 Funding the HHW satellite collection program which includes working with the two host communities and contractors.
  - 6. 2009-12 Funding the illegal dump cleanup program which includes working with numerous partner agencies (US Forest Services, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Conservation) local businesses, volunteer organizations to get dumpsites cleaned up properly.
  - 7. 2009-14 Funding the special waste collection program which includes working with several contractors, including MVE as well as city and county governments, local businesses and volunteer groups to organize and hold collection events.

- 8. 2010-01 Funding for the administration/operations grant that includes time for staff to attend state meetings and work on projects with MORA, the planner's group, the Solid Waste Advisory Committee and MDNR staff.
- 9. 2010-06 Funding the HHW satellite collection program which includes working with the two host communities and contractors.
- 10. 2010-07 Funding the special waste collections program which includes working with several contractors, including Missouri Vocational Enterprises (MVE), as well as city and county government and volunteer groups to organize and hold collection events.
- 11. 2010-08 Funding the illegal dump cleanup program which includes working with numerous partner agencies (US Forest Services, Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Missouri Department of Conservation) local businesses, schools and volunteer organizations to get dumpsites cleaned up properly.
- 12. 2010-11 Funding for match funds for the USDA Rural Development grant where the scope of work includes working with local school districts, US Forest Service and other local, state and federal agencies to clean up dumpsites.
- 13. 2010-12 Funding for developing a website that includes working with other partner agencies to develop links and share information, including MDNR, EPA, MORA and other solid waste districts.
- 5b) What resource recovery goals does the district have for the upcoming fiscal year and what actions does the district plan to take to achieve these goals? Actions planned for 2010-11: The district is providing matching funds for a federal grant through USDA Rural Development which started in October 2009 and will conclude March 29, 2011. This project distributes information on district programs and disposal and recycling options through the schools. In addition it provides financial assistance to schools in taking field trips to recycling and disposal facilities in the region. To date, six schools and one youth program have used the program to take field trips. The program encourages the schools to move from awareness of solid waste issues to taking action in their communities to address littering and illegal dumping through cleanups. Staff is advertising special collections being held in the fall of 2010 and the Gasconade R-II School District, located in Owensville, has stepped up and volunteered to host an e-scrap collection for their community on September 11, 2010. As always, the district will continue to foster partnerships with local, state and federal organizations to further the districts goals and reduce the amount of solid waste being landfilled.

<u>Previous fiscal year goals and accomplishments and problems encountered in meeting goals.</u> For the most part, the projects funded by the district were successful. Although not all 29 projects are on track according to their original timelines, some have required extensions, all the grant projects active in 2009-2010 are moving forward. All have made progress as outlined in the quarterly district grant reports.

<u>Provide goals for the upcoming fiscal year. Types of grant proposals sought in upcoming year to meet goals.</u> The goals from 2009-2010 will be continued for 2010-2011:

- 1. Expanding recycling opportunities to the residents of the region.
- 2. Expanding collection opportunities for special wastes, such as tires, white goods, HHW and electronic waste, to the residents of the region.

RECEIVED BY

- 3. Addressing illegal dumping through education/awareness, cleanups of illegal dumpsites in the region, surveillance of dumpsites and opportunities for residents to report illegal dumping.
- 4. Providing educational opportunities on waste reduction, reuse and recycling to residents of all ages in the region.
- 5. Provide technical and financial assistance to schools in the region in cleaning up old, unwanted chemicals in school labs.
- 6. Providing technical assistance on solid waste issues to local governments, local, state and federal agencies, businesses, organizations and residents of the region.
- 7. Working with other agencies and organizations to further the goals of the district.

The district plans to continue supporting key goals such as expanding recycling opportunities and addressing illegal dumping. The district will seek to fund projects that will meet these goals and the overall state goal of reducing the volume of waste being landfilled.

# **Types of Projects and Results During Fiscal Year:**

6) Projects resulting in tonnage diversion from landfills. Include number and cost of projects, tons diverted, average cost per ton diverted. Identify separate statistics for items banned and not banned from landfills.

As stated previously, the district had 29 open grants during 2009-2010. These included four grants from 2008 that received extensions; 13 projects from 2009; and 12 projects with grant periods from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010.

Of the 29 grants, 24 had tonnage diversion components. Several of these projects are still open. The following data shows the project, the diversion goal, progress towards the goal to-date if the grant is open or final figures on diversion for the grant period if the grant is closed. It also shows the dollar amount of the grant, and the estimated cost per ton. There are notes on the types of materials recovered and information regarding those projects where diversion was not the primary goal of the project. In some cases, the cost per ton is astronomically high when viewed from a strictly cost per ton in a one-year period perspective. Cost per ton is an easy method for evaluating projects, but it does not account for educational components, start up expenses that will reduce over time or the cost of piloting entirely new programs. The district stresses that even if projects have tonnage diversion components – diversion may not be the primary goal of the project and high costs per ton do not necessarily mean that the project was unsuccessful. The district prefers to evaluate projects on all of their goals rather than just strictly diversion, as this section of the annual report requires.

Because of how the reporting dates fell, and due to some grant extensions, some grantees have multiple grants open during the reporting period.

Projects that include banned items are identified with an asterisk.

Project	Diversion	Actual	Grant \$\$	Est. Cost	Open or
Name & #	Goal	Diversion	Expended	Per Ton	Closed?
*Illegal Dump 2008-12	8 tons	27.44 tons	\$ 29,215.00	\$ 1,137.57	Closed

Project Name & #	Diversion Goal	Actual Diversion	Grant \$\$ Expended	Est. Cost Per Ton	Open or <u>Closed?</u>
*Illegal Dump 2009-12	5 tons	19.194 tons	\$ 11,486.68	\$ 598.45	Open RECEIVED BY
*Illegal Dump 2010-11	5 tons	-0-	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open SWMP OPERATIONS

The purpose of these three projects is to address illegal dumping through education/awareness and partnership building with local governments, citizens and state and federal agencies. The goal is to cleanup dumpsites and reduce the incidents of illegal dumping. During cleanups, every effort is made to recycle banned items such as tires and appliances. It is not always possible to get accurate counts on how much of these materials are removed for recycling. The 2008-12 grant removed 33.89 tons of trash and recycled 27.44 tons of special waste. The 2009-12 grant, to date, has removed 18.39 tons of trash and recycled 19.194 tons of special wastes and recyclables. As of June 30, 2010, no funds had been spent out of the 2010-11 grant.

*Maries County 2009-06	8 tons	6.42 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Closed
*Maries County 2010-02	9 tons	3.686 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open

These projects' objective is to address illegal dumping and littering along county roads. Recovering recyclables is an expansion of the project, but not its primary purpose. Recovered materials include metals, tires, aluminum and plastics. The 2009-06 grant recovered 6.42 tons and removed and disposed of 5.93 tons of trash. The 2010-02 project has recovered 3.686 tons of recyclables and removed 1.727 tons of trash.

*OR Tire 2008-7	50 tons	72.36 tons	\$12,000.00	\$165.84	Closed
*MRPC Tire 2010-8	50 tons	25.76	\$ 3,794.85	\$147.32	Open

The purpose of these ongoing projects is to address illegal dumping by providing transportation and proper disposal of illegally dumped tires picked up by county road crews. The 2008-07 grant exceeded the goal of 50 tons.

2009-14	50 tons	34.46 tons	<b>Ф</b> 34,114.22	<b>\$</b> 020.18	Open
*MRPC Spec. Col.	45 tons	0 tons	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open

\*MDDC Coo. Col

The purpose of these grants is to provide an opportunity for residents to properly dispose of their white goods, tires and electronics. Fees were charged for the tires collected and participants were asked to pay half the cost of disposing of old TVs and monitors (\$10). The grant subsidized half the cost of the TVs and monitors.

*Phelps Co. 2009-2	2 tons	5.5 tons	\$11,284.78	\$2,051.78	Closed
*Phelps Co. 2010-3	2 tons	2.81 tons	\$4,336.16	\$1,543.12	Open

The purpose of these projects is to address illegal dumping and littering in Phelps County. In 2008, 2009and 2010, the project was expanded to include a recycling component and recyclables are now being sorted from the illegally dumped material and recycled.

Project Name & #	Diversion Goal	Actual Diversion	Grant \$\$ Expended	Est. Cost Per Ton	Open or Closed?
Enhancements Shred. 2008-5	100 tons	128 tons	\$17,600.00	\$ 137.50	Closed
Scenic Rivers Shred. 2009-3		5.85 tons	\$ 10,567.00	\$ 1,806.32	Closed
The purpose of these two given extensions to provid diversion rates are expected of them.	le extra time for	reporting diversion	n. In both cases the	programs are exi	pected to grow

ere and age of them.

Chem Lab Cleanup 2008-8	1 ton	.1 ton	\$12,072.67	\$120,726.70	Closed
Chem Lab Cleanup 2009-4	1 ton	-0-	\$ -0-		Open

Much of the materials removed from school labs cannot be recycled, so the recovery rate is very low. The focus of these projects is making schools safer through the removal of hazardous materials from schools and educating the schools on best management practices for school chemistry labs. As interest for this service has declined significantly, we are discontinuing the program at the end of 2010.

OR HHW Collection 2009-11	5 tons	7.4 tons	\$15,744.64	\$2,127.65	Closed
MRPC HHW Coll.	5 tons	1.33 tons	\$ 8,572.70	\$6,445.64	Open

The purpose of this grant is to provide funding for advertising, supplies and disposal costs for the two HHW satellite collections centers in Rolla and St. Robert. The district only counts the materials that are recycled in its tonnage figures reported here. The tonnage figure does not include the HHW materials properly disposed of by the contractor. This project is very popular as it provides a year round service to residents rather than the one day per year collections that were held prior to the establishment of the satellite collection centers.

Recycling Works 2009-5	43 tons	27.13 tons	\$ 9,761.00	\$	359.79	Closed
The primary purpose of	the project is edu	cation on the 3 Rs	for students at Gas	cona	de R-II.	
Going Green 2009-7	8.25 tons	9.465 tons	\$ 8,986.38	\$	949.43	Closed
The project includes an e	educational comp	onent for the stude	ents at Waynesville	R-V	I.	
Recycling Initiative 2009-8	1.3 tons	12.08 tons	\$ 7,500.00	\$	620.86	Open
Recycling Centers These two grants are par	1 ton t of a recycling p	-0- program on the can	\$ -0- npus of Missouri U		-0- rsity of Science	Open and Technology

and includes both recycling and an education/awareness component. The program has far exceeded the project goal.

Project Name & #	Diversion Goal	Actual Diversion	Grant \$\$ Expended	Est. Cost Per Ton	Open or <u>Closed?</u>		
Phelps Co. Partnersh. 656 tons 338.86 tons \$7,000.00 \$20.66 Closed 2009-9							
This project purchased a bale textiles for reuse/re		y the local thrift st	tore, in partnershi	p with the local sh	eltered workshop, to		
Salem Recycling 2009-10	5 tons	7.813 tons	\$ 9,018.00	\$ 1,154.23	Closed		
This project is purchasing a recycling trailer and bins to expand and enhance the City of Salem's recycling program.							

UE Food Waste

125 tons

90 tons

\$ -0-

\$ -0-

Open

This project is educating residents on composting food waste and has not submitted a grant reimbursement to date.

# 7) Projects not resulting in tonnage diversion. Include number and cost of projects.

Of the 29 projects active during the 2009-10 Fiscal Year, three did not result in tonnage diversion. Those projects are listed below:

<b>Project</b>	Name/Number	Grant Amount/Expended	Status
The purpose of as well as provi		\$108,880.00 / \$108,880.00 ministrative support – both general and for dravel, etc. for district implementation activitientical assistance, etc.	

MRPC Enviro. Educ. – 2009-13

\$ 4,534.40 / \$ 840.53

Closed

The purpose of this projects was to provide a professional environmental educator to do assemblies in seven different schools. As an environmental educator submitted an application and was awarded a grant for 2010, the district elected to allow staff to use a portion of this grant to assist the enviro educator with coordinating his program in local schools. All remaining funds were turned back to the district.

Rolla Recycling Mascot – 2010-09 \$ 5,000.00 /\$-0-

Onen

The purpose of this grant is to provide a recycling mascot for the Rolla Recycling Center to use for special events such as Earth Day, local festivals and fairs and tours of the facility. The mascot's purpose is to make recycling education fun and appealing for area children.

#### 8) Identify separate statistics for items banned from landfills:

Project Name & #	Diversion Goal	Actual Diversion	Grant \$\$ Expended	Est. Cost Per Ton	Open or Closed?
*Illegal Dump 2008-12	8 tons	27.44 tons	\$ 29,215.00	\$ 1,137.57	Closed
*Illegal Dump 2009-12	5 tons	19.194 tons	\$11,486.68	\$ 598.45	Open
			REC	EIVED BY	

SEP 2.7 2010

11 Page

**SWMP OPERATIONS** 

Project <u>Name &amp; #</u>	Diversion Goal	Actual Diversion	Grant \$\$ Expended	Est. Cost Per Ton	Open or Closed?
*MRPC USDA Mate The purpose of these thre building with local gover and reduce the incidents tires and appliances. It is recycling. The 2008-12 g grant has removed 18.39 the matching funds grant	ee projects is to a rnments, citizens, of illegal dumpin not always possi trant has removed tons of trash and	schools and state g. During cleanup ble to get accurate 133.89 tons of tra	and federal agencies, every effort is me counts on how mush and recycled 27.	es. The goal is to nade to recycle ba uch of these mater .44 tons of special	cleanup dumpsites nned items such as rials are removed f l waste. The 2009-
*Maries County 2009-06	8 tons	6.42 tons	\$ 6,105.00	\$ 950.93	Closed
*Maries County 2010-02	9 tons	3.686	\$ -0-	\$ -0-	Open
The objective of these pro ecyclables is an expansion duminum and plastics.	ojects is to addres on of the project,	ss illegal dumping but not its primar	and littering along y purpose. Recover	county roads. Re red materials inclu	covering ide metals, tires,
*OR Tire 2008-07	50 tons	72.36 tons	\$12,000.00	\$165.84	Closed
MRPC Tire	50 tons	25.76 tons	\$ 3,794.85	\$147.32	Open
he purpose of these ongo f illegally dumped tires p	oing projects is to picked up by cou	o address illegal d nty road crews. Th	umping by providine 2008-07 grant ex	ng transportation a sceeded the goal o	and proper dispose of 50 tons.
MRPC spec. collect 009-14	. 30 tons	54.48 tons	\$34,114.22	\$626.18	Open
FUSDA match The purpose of these gran and electronics. Fees were isposing of old TVs and	charged for the	tires collected and	participants were	asked to pay half	the cost of
Phelps Co. 009-2	2 tons	5.5 tons	\$11,284.78	\$2,051.78	Closed
Phelps Co. 010-03	2 tons	2.81 tons	\$ 4,336.16	\$1,543.12	Open

The purpose of these projects is to address illegal dumping and littering in Phelps County. In 2008, 2009 and 2010, the project was expanded to include recycling components and recyclables are now being sorted from the illegally dumped material and recycled.

### 9) Identify separate statistics for items not banned from landfills:

Project Name & #	Diversion Goal	Actual Diversion	Grant \$\$ Expended	Est. Cost Per Ton	Open or Closed?
Enhancements Shred. 2008-5	100 tons	128 tons	\$17,600.00	\$ 137.50	Closed
Scenic Rivers Shred. 2009-3	3 tons	5.85 tons	\$ 10,567.00	\$1,806.32	Closed

The purpose of these two shredder grants is to capture an additional paper stream for recycling. Both grants were given extensions to provide extra time for reporting diversion. In both cases the programs are expected to grow and diversion rates are expected to increase as more local companies become aware of the services and take advantage of them.

Chem Lab Cleanup 2008-8	1 ton	.1	\$12,072.67	\$120,726.70	Closed
Chem Lab Cleanup 2009-4	1 ton	-0-	\$ -0-		Open

Much of the materials removed from school labs cannot be recycled, so the recovery rate is very low. The focus of these projects is making schools safer through the removal of hazardous materials from schools and educating the schools on best management practices for school chemistry labs. As interest for this service has declined significantly, we are discontinuing the program at the end of 2010.

OR HHW Collection 2009-11	5 tons	7.4 tons	\$15,962.37	\$2,157.08	Closed
HHW Satellite	5 tons	1.33 tons	\$ 8,572.70	\$6,445.64	Open

The purpose of these two grants is to provide funding for advertising, supplies and disposal costs for the two HHW satellite collections centers in Rolla and St. Robert. The district only counts the materials that are recycled in its tonnage figures reported here. The tonnage figure does not include the HHW materials properly disposed of by the contractor. This project is very popular as it provides a year round service to residents rather than the one day per year collections that were held prior to the establishment of the satellite collection centers.

Recycling Works 2009-5	43 tons	27.13 tons	\$ 9,761.00	\$35	59.79	Closed
The primary purpose of t	he project is educa	ation on the 3 Rs f	or students at Gaso	conad	e R-II.	
Going Green 2009-7	8.25 tons	9.465 tons	\$ 8,986.38	,	949,43	Closed
The project includes an e	ducational compo	nent for the studer	its at Waynesville	R-VI.		
Recycling Initiative 2009-8	1.3 tons	12.08 tons	\$ 7,500.00	\$	620.86	Open
Recycling MS&T 2010-04	1 ton	-0-	\$ -0-	\$	-0-	Open

This is a recycling program on the campus of Missouri University of Science and Technology and includes both recycling and an education/awareness component. The program has far exceeded the project goal.

RECEIVED BY
SEP 2 7 2010
SWMP OPERATIONS

Project Name & #	Diversion	Actual	Grant \$\$	Est. Cost	Open or
	Goal	Diversion	Expended	Per Ton	Closed?
Phelps Co. Partnersh. 2009-9	656 tons	338.86 tons	\$7,000.00	\$20.66	Closed

This project purchased a baler to be used by the local thrift store, in partnership with the local sheltered workshop, to bale textiles for reuse/recycling.

Salem Recycling 5 tons 7.813 tons \$ 9,018.00 \$ 1,154.23 Closed 2009-10

This project is purchasing a recycling trailer and bins to expand and enhance the City of Salem's recycling program.

#### 10) Describe your district's proposal evaluation process.

The district has developed an evaluation form for reviewing grants (copy attached). The entire executive board meets to review and evaluate grants. Each grant is reviewed and discussed in the group setting and then each board member evaluates it individually. Evaluation forms are collected and staff tallies the scores. Because the district always has more requests for funds than dollars available, the board decides which grants, if any, will not be funded at all. These will be those with the lowest scores. There are usually proposals submitted that do not meet the district's goals or conflict with district policy (the proposal would result in an unfair business advantage or competes directly with an existing program.) The board then decides, based on funds available, how many of the grants with the highest scores can be funded fully and where in the scores to go from full funding to partial funding. If the board chooses to partially fund a project, they will look at the budget and determine which aspects of the project they are willing to fund (for example, they will buy the baler but will not pay for advertising.)

NOTE: Although the board has never felt compelled to fund all proposals submitted, and they have never been in the situation where there are more funds than requests for funds, the board has adopted, effective September 2006, a policy to not fund projects that score below a certain level – 70 percent. MDNR has encouraged all districts to do this and the ORSWMD has officially adopted this policy.

What is the district's policy for funding applicants who have received grants in prior years? The district does not prohibit applicants from requesting funds each year, but it does expect that the proposals will be for expansions of current projects, not just continuations of the same project. If proposals do not show that the applicant is expanding services in some way, the board will be less likely to fund the project.

What is the district's policy for funding the on-going operations of applicants? The only ongoing projects that the district funds are its own plan implementation programs – special collections, Earth Day activities, technical assistance, etc. Proposals from outside entities are expected to show some kind of expansion or improvement over previous proposals. For instance, the district has provided multiple grants to the Maries County Clean Roads and Waterways program, but that project continues to expand its activities – not only providing litter and illegal dumping cleanups but now also recycling much of the material collected through those cleanups. The same applies to the Tough on Trash program in Phelps County.